UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,725	10/540,725 03/16/2007 Shuiyuan Luo		930037-2008.A.0.14.US	7155
Ronald R Santu	7590 07/14/201 I <b>cci</b>	EXAMINER		
Frommer Lawre 745 Fifth Aven	_	JOHNSON, JENNA LEIGH		
New York, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1798	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/14/2011	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/540,725	LUO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JENNA JOHNSON	1798		

		JENNA JOHNSON	1798	
The	MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FII	LED <u>05 July 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
application application	was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on n, applicant must timely file one of the following n in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
	eriod for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The pe	eriod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A ent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONT	"HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( e may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	f).		
under 37 CFR 1.1 set forth in (b) abo	the date for purposes of determining the period of extra total (17(a)) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	nally set in the final Offic	e action; or (2) as
	e of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of	Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	=			
(a) 🔯 The	osed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by raise new issues that would require further con	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
` ' =	y raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
—	ey are not deemed to place the application in bet beal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) 🔲 The	y present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NC	DTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The amei	ndments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant	t's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	:		
non-allow	oposed or amended claim(s) would be all able claim(s).	·	•	-
how the n	uses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we were amended claims would be rejected is proves of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will yided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of
	bbjected to:			
` '	ejected: <u>1-5,11-15 and 36-49</u> .			
	withdrawn from consideration: 6-10,16-35 and 50	<u>0-63</u> .		
	OTHER EVIDENCE			
because a	wit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu applicant failed to provide a showing of good and arlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
entered b	wit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ecause the affidavit or other evidence failed to o a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
	lavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation R RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. 🛛 The requ	uest for reconsideration has been considered bu licant's arguments are drawn to the unentered a		condition for allowand	ce because:
	attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			
13.		, , , , <u> </u>		
		/Jenna-Leigh Johnson/		
		Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1798	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendments would require new consideration and search.